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$$
\ddot{x}_{1}=\frac{c^{2}}{h^{2}}\left(x_{2}+x_{0}-2 x_{1}\right)\left(1+\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)^{3}\right)
$$

| $x_{1}$ | $\ddot{x}_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ddot{x}_{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.45 | 0.66 | $\ldots$ | -0.23 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| -0.78 | -0.12 | $\ldots$ | 0.89 |

$$
\ddot{x}_{10}=\frac{c^{2}}{h^{2}}\left(x_{9}-2 x_{10}\right)\left(1-x_{9}^{3}\right)
$$
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## From Regression to Hypergraph discovery

The regression problem
Suppose $y=f(x)$, given samples $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, . ., N$, approximate $f$


## Graph representation



## Gaussian Process Regression

For $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p} \times \mathbb{R}, i=1, . ., N$, approximate $f$ s.t. $y=f(x)$.

## Linear Ridge regression

Our approximation is a linear function $\tilde{f}(x)=\beta^{* T} x$ with

$$
\beta^{*}=\underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p}}{\arg \min } \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|Y_{i}-\beta^{T} X_{i}\right|^{2}+\gamma\|\beta\|^{2}
$$

We know that, for $k(x, y)=x^{T} y$,

$$
\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{H}_{k}=\overline{\left\{\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} k\left(\cdot, z_{i}\right), \text { for some } z_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\}}
$$

## Gaussian Process Regression

For $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p} \times \mathbb{R}, i=1, . ., N$, approximate $f$ s.t. $y=f(x)$.
Quadratic Ridge regression
Our approximation is a quadratic function $\tilde{f}(x)=\beta^{* T} \psi(x)$, $\psi(x)=\left(1, x, x^{2}\right)$,

$$
\beta^{*}=\underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2 p+1}}{\arg \min } \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|Y_{i}-\beta^{T} \psi\left(X_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\gamma\|\beta\|^{2}
$$

We know that, for $k(x, y)=\psi(x)^{T} \psi(y)$,

$$
\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{H}_{k}=\overline{\left\{\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} k\left(\cdot, z_{i}\right), \text { for some } z_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\}}
$$

## Gaussian Process Regression

For $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p} \times \mathbb{R}, i=1, . ., N$, approximate $f$ s.t. $y=f(x)$.

## Kernel Ridge regression

Our approximation is a function in a space $\mathcal{H}_{k}{ }^{1}$ defined by the kernel $k$.

$$
\tilde{f}=\underset{f \in \mathcal{H}_{k}}{\arg \min } \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\gamma\|f\|^{2}
$$

We know that,

$$
\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{H}_{k}=\overline{\left\{\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} k\left(\cdot, z_{i}\right), \text { for some } z_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\}}
$$

${ }^{1} \mathcal{H}_{k}$ is called the Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS) of $k$
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## Computational Hypergraphs

A computational hypergraph is a graphical representation of a set of equations

$y=f(x)$

$y=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=f(x) \\
& z=g(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The electrical circuit example ${ }^{2}$



$$
\begin{aligned}
i_{3} & =C\left(V_{3}\right) \frac{d V_{3}}{d t} \\
V_{2}-V_{3} & =R\left(i_{3}\right) i_{3} \\
-V_{2} & =L_{2}\left(i_{2}\right) \frac{d i_{2}}{d t} \\
V_{2}-V_{1} & =L_{1}\left(i_{1}\right) \frac{d i_{1}}{d t}
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{2}$ Owhadi, Computational Graph Completion.

## The electrical circuit example

Since any set of equations can be represented as a Computational Hypergraph, we can obtain:
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## From Regression to Hypergraph discovery

Regression Given samples $Y_{i}=f\left(X_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, . ., N$, approximate $f$


Hypergraph Completion Given the graph's structure and samples of its variables, approximate unknown edges, and missing data.


Hypergraph discovery Given samples of the variables, find the structure of the graph.


## Examples

- Brain networks


Figure 1: Image from Shu-Hsien Chu et al.

Objective: Discover functional dependencies between the activities of different brain regions.

## Examples

Caltech

- Brain networks
- Economic networks


Figure 1: Image from Schweitzer et al.
Objective: Discover functional dependencies between economic markers of different banks

## Examples

- Brain networks
- Economic networks
- Weather modelling
+ positive feedback for C3, C4 plants, negative feedback for CAM plants
* negative feedback above optimal values
$\star$ negative feedback above optimal values

Figure 1: Image from Michael Ek.
Objective: Discover functional dependencies between the different variables

## Existing methods

## Causal inference and Probabilistic graphs

- Usually tackle a different problem (e.g., conditional independence or causality)
- Relies on strong assumptions (e.g., access to a distribution)


## Existing methods

## Causal inference and Probabilistic graphs

- Usually tackle a different problem (e.g., conditional independence or causality)
- Relies on strong assumptions (e.g., access to a distribution)


## Sparse regressions

- Uses knowledge of sparse representations in a dictionary of functions
- Example: SINDY


## Starting from an empty graph

## The CHD problem

Given $N$ samples of our variables, recover the functional dependencies between them (i.e., the structure of the graph).

## Starting from an empty graph

## (x)

(xt)
(xs
(xu)


## The CHD problem

Given $N$ samples of $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}$, recover the functional dependencies (ie. the structure of the graph).

## Our solution

Ancestors: If $x_{5}=f\left(x_{1}, x_{4}\right)$ for some $f, x_{1}, x_{4}$ are ancestors of $x_{5}$.
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## Our solution

Caltech


There is a function $g_{5}$ s.t.

$$
x_{5}=g_{5}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)
$$

$x_{5}$ is not a function of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}$


There is a function $g_{5}$ s.t.

$$
x_{5}=g_{5}\left(x_{2}, x_{4}\right)
$$

There are three questions:

- Does $x_{5}$ have any ancestors?
- If so, what is the minimum set of ancestors?
- What kind of function is $g_{5}$ ?


## Does $x_{5}$ have any ancestors?

Ancestors, samples gathered in X


Target samples gathered in Y

Ancestors, samples gathered in X


## Target

samples gathered in Y

Let's see if there is $g_{5}$ s.t. $x_{5}=g_{5}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ using a Gaussian Process (kernel $k$ and noise variance $\gamma$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{5}=\underset{f}{\arg \min }\|f\|_{k}^{2}+\frac{1}{\gamma}|f(X)-Y|^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Does $x_{5}$ have any ancestors?

To see if this model correctly describes the data, we perform a nonlinear variance decomposition:
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## Does $x_{5}$ have any ancestors?

To see if this model correctly describes the data, we perform a nonlinear variance decomposition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{5}=\arg \min _{f}\|f\|_{k}^{2}+\frac{1}{\gamma}|f(X)-Y|^{2} \\
& s=\left\|g_{5}\right\|_{k}^{2} \\
& \text { (variance of data } \\
& \text { explained by model) }
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { (variance of data } \\
& \text { explained by noise) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The noise $n$ comes from two sources:

- True noise from the data
- Unexplained data variance
- Quantifies model misspecification


## Does $x_{5}$ have any ancestors?

## Noise-to-signal ratio

$\frac{n}{n+s} \in[0,1]$, quantifies how much the data agrees with $x_{5}$ having $x_{1}, . ., x_{4}$ as ancestors

- if $\frac{n}{n+s} \approx 0$ : The model is well specified.
- if $\frac{n}{n+s} \approx 1$ : The model is misspecified.
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## Noise-to-signal ratio

$\frac{n}{n+s} \in[0,1]$, quantifies how much the data agrees with $x_{5}$ having $x_{1}, . ., x_{4}$ as ancestors

- if $\frac{n}{n+s} \approx 0$ : The model is well specified.
- if $\frac{n}{n+s} \approx 1$ : The model is misspecified.

If $\frac{n}{n+s}<0.5, x_{5}$ has ancestors


If $\frac{n}{n+s}>0.5, x_{5}$ has no ancestors
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$$



Current kernel: Linear
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## Choosing the kernel

Is there a $g_{5}$ s.t. $x_{5}=g_{5}\left(x_{1}, . ., x_{4}\right)$ ? The kernel defines the set of functions we are searching $g_{5}$ in.

$$
g_{5} \text { linear } \xrightarrow{\frac{n}{n+s}<0.5} \quad \begin{gathered}
x_{5} \text { has ancestors } \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { and } g_{5} \\
\text { is linear }
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$
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Current kernel: Quadratic
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$$

## Choosing the kernel

Is there a $g_{5}$ s.t. $x_{5}=g_{5}\left(x_{1}, . ., x_{4}\right)$ ? The kernel defines the set of functions we are searching $g_{5}$ in.


Current kernel: Quadratic

$$
k(x, y)=1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} x_{i} x_{j} y_{i} y_{j}
$$

## Choosing the kernel

Is there a $g_{5}$ s.t. $x_{5}=g_{5}\left(x_{1}, . ., x_{4}\right)$ ? The kernel defines the set of functions we are searching $g_{5}$ in.


## Current kernel: Nonlinear

$$
k(x, y)=1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} x_{i} x_{j} y_{i} y_{j}+\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+e^{-\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}}\right)
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We can define the activation $a_{2}$, which quantifies the contribution of $x_{2}$ to the signal data variance:

$$
a_{2}=\frac{\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{k_{2}}^{2}}{\left\|g_{5}\right\|_{k}^{2}}
$$

## The Algorithm

## Alg. for discovering the ancestors of $x_{5}$

1: Assign all other nodes as ancestors
2: Compute $\frac{n}{n+s}$ for each kernel
3: if No kernel has low noise then
4: $\quad x_{5}$ has no ancestors
5: else
6: Pick first kernel with low noise
7: end if
8: while there are some ancestors left do
9: compute the contribution of each node
10: remove the node that contributes the least
11: recompute $\frac{n}{n+s}$
12: end while
13: using the evolution of $\frac{n}{n+s}$, choose the number of ancestors
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## The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou problem

Let $N=10$ masses, for $i=0, . ., N-1$, their displacement from equilibrium $x_{i}$. We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}_{i}=\frac{c^{2}}{h^{2}}\left(x_{i+1}+x_{i-1}-2 x_{i}\right)\left(1+\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i-1}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Boundary condition: $x_{-1}=x_{N}=0$


Figure 2: Nelson et al., 2018
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\end{equation*}
$$

We observe $n=1000$ snapshots of $x_{i}, \dot{x}_{i}, \ddot{x}_{i}, i=0, . ., 9$. We recover the graph perfectly, even with uninformative prior:


## The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou problem

A typical evolution of the noise (for $\ddot{x}_{7}$ ):



Figure 3: Left: evolution of noise-to-signal ratio . Right: Increment in noise $\left(\frac{n}{n+s}(q)-\frac{n}{n+s}(q-1)\right.$ for $q$ the number of ancestors)

## COVID dataset

The dataset: Google's COVID data on France
Daily values of 31 variables during 500 days:

- Epidemiology dataset (new infections, cumulative deaths,...)
- Hospital dataset (number of admitted patients, patients in intensive care, etc.)
- Vaccine dataset (number of vaccinated individuals,...)
- Policy dataset (indicators related to government responses: school closures, lockdown measures, etc.)
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## COVID dataset



Figure 4: Evolution of the noise-to-signal ratio when pruning ancestors for the cumulative number of hospitalized patients.


Figure 5: Sachs et al, 2005

## The Sachs dataset

In this dataset, some variables are strongly dependent, while other dependencies are weaker. To tackle this disparity, we cluster the nodes:
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Figure 8: Comparison of recovered graph and protein signaling network

## Conclusion

Contributions
We developed a Gaussian Process-based framework to recover functional dependencies between variables

- Works for any unlabelled dataset, with few assumptions
- interpretable
- Recovers known equations in toy examples
- Yields plausible results for real datasets


## Questions ?

Computational Hypergraph Discovery, a Gaussian Process Framework for Connecting the Dots
Théo Bourdais, Pau Batlle, Xianjin Yang, Ricardo Baptista, Nicolas Rouquette, and Houman Owhadi ArXiv, (2023). /abs/2311.17007

C) ComputationalHypergraphDiscovery
pip install ComputationalHypergraphDiscovery

Blog post on my website

